

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,016	09/28/2001	Dov L. Randall	112300-882	5347
29159 7590 04/13/2004			EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			JONES, SCOTT E	
P. O. BOX 113	=			
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	. 1
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004	, II

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/967,016	RANDALL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott E. Jones	3713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 January 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-46 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-46 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 9/28/01 is/are: a)☒ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/967,016

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment and request for continued examination filed on January 20, 2004 in which applicant amends claim 1-6, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20-24, and 28-30, adds new claims 31-46, and responds to the claim rejections.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-9 and 11-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,328,649 and Application/Control Number: 09/967,016

Art Unit: 3713

claims 1-73 of U.S. Patent No. 6,638,164. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would be obvious to have a processor randomly designate a modifier on a gaming machine, such as a slot machine, since random number generators are notoriously well known and used in the gaming arts to select random outcome events.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 10-12, filed January 20, 2004, with respect to the rejection to claims 1-9, 10-14, 16-25, and 28-30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilson, Jr. et al. and the rejection to claims 15 and 26-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson, Jr. et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection to claims 1-14, 16-25, and 28-30 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilson, Jr. et al. and the rejection to claims 15 and 26-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson, Jr. et al. have been withdrawn. Wilson, Jr. et al. lacks disclosing, teaching, or fairly suggesting randomly designating an award modifier upon obtaining an incrementor(s) on the reels. In Wilson, Jr. et al., a multiplier (modifier) is increased each time a sum of ten incrementors are obtained on the reels during the max bet mode. In Wilson, Jr. et al., once an award is obtained, the multiplier (modifier) is automatically reset to the low value, rather than, being randomly designated as claimed in the instant invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott E. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-7133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 A.M. - 5:00 P.M..

Art Unit: 3713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott E. Jones Examiner Art Unit 3713

sej

1